CANDOUR

The British Views-Letter

Founded by A. K. Chesterton in 1953 to defend National Sovereignty against he menace of International Finance

XXXII No. 6 *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* June, 1981

THE WALLS OF DEMOCRACY

by ROSINE DE BOUNEVIALLE

We really should ask ourselves what was going on in the Old Testament Jericho to provoke God to a miracle to enable the city to be laid waste. Very possibly the answer might be that the inhabitants were given over to the humanist substitute for government by an anointed king or a tribal chief, i.e. "the will of the people" — the object of all Red revolution. If that were the case, we may be sure that "the people" were apathetically subject to any outrage perpetrated by their elected representatives and/or else were so ridden by uncontrolled vice as positively to welcome such "freedom". For we know from experience that there is no crime, including murder and racial rape, that is not promoted by "the people" against the nation.

If one thing is more obvious than another, this doleful day, it is that we too need a miracle to bring the walls of democracy tumbling. Nothing less than the total destruction of the whole evil set-up will do. But as — to paraphrase *Alice* — we are (with)*in* the walls, we tend to be conditioned to the prevailing climate. If we sometimes complain of local extremes, we still think, "it won't last long", for our democracy, at least, enjoys moderate temperatures that everyone can adapt to. Well, that is the picture we are daily asked to accept as a true reflection of our national condition.

One wonders how many times certain recent events will be repeated before we are disabused of this erroneous idea. Of course, anyone who lives somewhat nearer to the realities of the multi-racial state than in the Cumbrian fastness where only White-law runs, will not have been unduly astonished at the recent events in the suburbs of London called Brixton, New Cross and Finsbury Park; only our Parliamentary hirelings, their forerunners, potential successors and their clerical counterparts have ever pretended that the import of thousands of aliens of disparate stocks would not lead to the situation we, the unconsulted natives, endure today. But horrific as those events have been, the most of us *still* consider them — or rather allow our so-called rulers to consider them — as different and separate problems asking for different and separate solutions. The media teach us to welcome announcements of official investigations and enquiries into sources of the Brixton riots, the violence on the football terraces, teenage discontent or a dozen other coincidental manifestations of the power of the arcane, implacable force that is pushing us to perdition. It is not that we have problems to be solved; it is that we have an enemy to be attacked and defeated. Until we do that, our problems will do nothing but multiply and our will to remove them weaken.

More Effective Weapon

We need some different and more effective weapon than the darts that are all we are presently able to employ against our opponents' comprehensive verbalised, caligraphised and televised assault system; that is obvious from our dolorous lack of significant progress in the battle. On the Western Front in the First World War, there was in use a missile called the Mills bomb. It was designed to be thrown by hand after the firing-pin had been withdrawn. It was only after some of these missiles had been seized upon by alert enemies before they could explode, and hurled back with lethal effect on the attackers, that instructions were issued to count ten between the extraction of the pin and the throwing of the bomb so as to make impact and explosion practically simultaneous. Some of today's media missiles are also open to immediate returning. The effective weapons are controlled by the enemy, but once he fires them we should be ready to hurl them back in his midst. To be convinced of the absolute necessity of such a riposte, we have to understand that all anti-Christian, anti-social, anti-racial and anti-national missiles are delivered from and by the same force. When we believe this, we will know it is the vehicle carrying them that we have to turn back to base.

To that end, let us return to the events, actions and reactions of the past weeks. Since the riot in Brixton continued spasmodically during four days and nights, for that time the newspapers and television pictures told a true story of the doings among the local "ethnic minority". What was portrayed was: a stone-and-petrol bomb throwing, smashing-burning-and-looting war being waged against the police and the remaining White inhabitants of a Black-dominated district. The scenes were wholly reminiscent of those periodically relayed

from any Third World territory "freed" from the tyranny of the White's man's rule. The only difference was that the policemen involved were White, and they neither shot their assailants with lethal bullets nor subjected them to a lathi charge. Nevertheless, for the next few days the communications media were saturated with demands for "less police presence", "more positive discrimination in favour of (deprived) Blacks", "more aid for deprived (Black) areas", "bans on the National Front race-haters, Nazis, fascists, Right-wing extremists"—anyone, in fact, who loves his country and wishes to enjoy it with his own kind.

"But surely," we can hear 'moderates' saying, "that is a very biased picture. You have quoted only interested parties and bodies. What about Government spokesmen? Are they not impartial?" To that we reply that even if they were impartial we would not like it. In the British Isles, we expect *partiality* for the English, Scots, Welsh and Irish natives thereof. We have suffered the reverse of that partiality ever since our so-called rulers became subservients of International Usurers — *their* souls are seemingly so dead that to themselves they have never said, "This in my own, my native land" — unless, of course, the "native land" happens to be Israel, and then it is all right for them to practise their chauvinism anywhere. No; partiality for the ethnic *majority* was not the order of that or any day. Over all that cacophony came the bleat of Willie Whitelaw, declaiming, "If we seek to justify violence, we take the first step on the road to the destruction of civilisation."

Suitable Missile

Now *there* is a missile to bounce back if ever there was one — only no-one with access to the media thought of pointing out that that is precisely what the Establishment has been doing for as long as most of us can remember. Justifying democratic violence* from Nicaragua to Northern Ireland or from Bangalore to Brixton is what governmental stooges have been engaged in all their political lives. Only the uncompromising counteraction of loyal subjects has been condemned, where it has not been stifled by Church and State alike. It is not the justifying of Terrorist violence that is the first step to destruction — that is the last. The first step is the inauguration of violence to establish the Revolution to overrule what were once homogeneous and God-fearing peoples.

Every one from Arnold Leese to A.K.C. and ourselves who denounce the aims of the Jewish Money Power have suffered as much violence from an envenomed foe as is compatible with the secrecy of his pursuance of the operation — World Government.

Patriots in every threatened nation have the same story to tell. Basque murderers are "justified" by their foolish king's efforts to concede "autonomy". I.R.A. murderers are "justified" by their illegal uniformed marches conducted with impunity; by the time given by the media to the soul-searing descriptions of the "slow suicide" of a criminal, serving what was an inadequate sentence in the first place; and the release of two other "suicides" who were "saved by the bell", so to say. These "justifying" tactics are endorsed by the pleas of Cardinals O'Fiagh and Hume for "negotiations" (presumably as equals) between the British Government (O'Fiagh) or the Human Rights Commission (Hume) and a now-dead convicted terrorist whose activities were tacitly approved by the democratic vote of Roman Catholic British subjects. One must hope that the Catholics did this only in the absence of unequivocal religious direction from the Roman Catholic hierarchy. For it seems to have been forgotten that although democracies may have lifted the legal bans on self-murder, infanticile in the womb and buggery between consenting adults, the Catholic Church, we understand, still pronounces these to be sins that cry out to Heaven for vengeance. It is a sad scandal that they are so often left to cry in competition with calls for intervention between the unrepentant sinner and his deserts, whether self-inflicted or not.

To crown a mind-boggling month: the values of democracy were again illustrated by the headlines showing the adulatory crowds in democratic Barbados rejoicing with yet another criminal over his escape to *la dolce vita* instead of paying the allotted penalty for robbery with violence. In case anyone has forgotten, it was too bad about the clobbered train driver who suffered brain damage and an earlier death as a result. And while the smiling hero of the Great Train Robbery went rejoicing back to Brazil, the European Rights Commission, according to *The Times,* is to bring strong pressure to bear on the Government to ensure Northern Ireland law also permits homosexuality between consenting adults over 21.

If we do not find a way to echo with our voices the cries to Heaven, we shall deserve destruction when the last last step is taken.

* i.e. Terrorism

CUT AND THRUST

BY ROBERT BLAKE

BIG BOGUS BEAR — For those who fear the Soviet Union is capable of the military domination of mankind, a *World In Action* T.V. documentary screened in April was instructive viewing. It sought to show that the Red armed forces are, in fact, clapped-out old bears incapable of inflicting serious harm on anyone. *The Daily Telegraph's* Richard Last summarised the programme's findings thus: "Their tanks are out of date, under-powered and dangerous. You need a sledgehammer to knock them into gear, and medical aid whenever anyone tries to load the gun. The Russian Navy has more ships than the Americans, but much smaller ones. Russian fighters . . . disintegrate before reaching maximum speed. As for the Soviet troops themselves, we learned, they are under-disciplined . . . disaffected to the point of mutiny, and mostly alcoholics. If they can't get hold of the branded stuff, they will drink the de-icing fluid. Half of them come from subject-races in central Asia and are regarded as unreliable by their true Red Russian superiors."

While no mention was made of the strength of the Russians' nuclear weaponry, much of what was claimed about their capacity for waging conventional warfare rings true to anyone aware of the Russians' innate backwardness in terms of modern technology. During the 1930s, when British engineers went to instal machine tools and complex equipment in industrial centres, it was common for them to return for the purpose of servicing a year or two later only to find their machines ruined beyond all possibility of repair. It was only massive Western technological aid and the traitorous actions of a Judaeo-Communist fifth column that enabled the laggardly Slavs to transform themselves as if by magic into a super-power possessing the capacity to wage nuclear war.

THE FUTILITY OF DEPENDENCE — So we all sleep safely at night content in the knowledge that NATO — principally American — military strength can more than match the Russians? Hardly! The U.S. Army's catalogue of idiocies in Vietnam, Iran and elsewhere is public knowledge, so is the increasing number of drug-addicts and low-I.Q. Blacks swelling its ranks. Last year, Pentagon studies revealed that 21 per cent of the U.S. Army's tank gunners on duty with NATO in West Germany had no idea how to use their gunsights, while other soldiers could not tell the difference between friendly and hostile aircraft silhouettes and experienced problems reading instruction manuals! What else could be expected from a nation whose military commanders admit they tolerate *one quarter* of their new intake nowadays coming from the lowest category of intelligence?

As for the USA's *attitude* to war with the Russians I would expect a virile nation to enter a fight without any other thought than victory. Not so the US Defence Department, which twenty years ago commissioned a scientific group to study the conditions "when surrender would be desirable". I can find no trace of its findings ever being rejected. Those of us who have probed the subterranean links between New York's finance-houses and the Kremlin should not be surprised at such proof that the ability to survive and the right time to surrender are considered more crucial than victory. Until such times as our Anglo-Saxon kinfolk in the New World re-possess their land, this country's only hope for security lies in substantially strengthening our armed forces and setting our scientists and technicians to work to provide us with a sufficiency of nuclear armaments under sovereign British control.

PRESIDENTIAL ENIGMA — Quite what lay behind the assassination attempt against President Reagan is not clear. Although John Hinkley, the gunman, had a brief flirtation with a national socialist outfit, we may be sure that if there was a hint of evidence to link him seriously with such a group, the attempt on Reagan's life would have led to a series of body-blows being delivered upon every patriotic movement in the States. Where, then, should we look for clues?

The simplest explanation might be the correct one. Hinkley, like the unlamented John Lennon's deliverer into the hereafter, may well be an obscure misfit whose motive, in this case, was a deranged desire to impress a pimply adolescent film-star with whom he had become infatuated. If this be so, we need waste no time in making events appear more complex than they really are by seeking an explanation in terms of one conspiracy or another. For instance, much as David Rockerfeller's Trilateral Commission would far sooner have its own man, Vice-President George Bush, in supreme office, I am reasonably certain that sufficient of its own personnel have become Reagan's advisers and assistants for it to be confident that it is firmly in command.

But ponder on this curious news: it has been revealed that the Hinkleys made large contributions to

Bush's unsuccessful campaign for the Presidency and were well acquainted with the East Coast smoothie's family. John Hinkley's older brother Scott was due to have dinner with Neil Bush on the night after the assassination attempt when his father flew in from Dallas to take over the White House. When publicised, the engagement was called off. Very likely the Hinkley-Bush link was all a grievous coincidence, but readers who prefer to believe many things happen because they are "planned that way" will feel that Ronald Reagan has no cause now to doubt what is in store should he ever step out of line with what his masters expect of him.

ESSENTIAL READING — A book I intend to buy shortly is David Irving's forthright journalistic profile of the 1956 Hungarian revolt, *Uprising!* (Hodder, £13.50). His contention is that the rising was fuelled by hatred of Hungary's Jewish rulers, which led a *Daily Mirror* reviewer to describe his work as a "lurid, exclamatory book that will further enrage professional historians". What an odd comment, for it is a matter of historical fact that a good percentage of the "refugees" who escaped through Austria in late 1956 and scrambled for passages across the Atlantic were not freedom fighters at all, but members of the Jewish ruling class in Hungary for whom the freedom fighters were gunning. If the *Daily Mirror* can think of a logical reason for insurrectionists fighting for their lives against a tyrannical regime to attack Jews, other than that they were intimately associated with that regime, I would be fascinated to read of it.

GLOBAL BRAIN WASHING — It has long been my conviction that in the words of a *New York Times* editor the occupation of journalists is "to destroy truth, to lie openly, to pervert, to crawl at the feet of Mammon and to sell (their) country for (their) daily bread." Perusal of any one of our daily tabloids or their sex-besotted Sunday equivalents will confirm my point. That said, it has still been possible to glean from some 'papers a fairly accurate view of the horrors of life in the squalid little hell-holes of Africa and Asia, where murder, rape, rioting and corruption are everyday occurrences.

However, if UNESCO has its way, there is to be instituted a "global strategy for a new international communication and information order" (don't the one-worlders love their "new orders"?) which will effectively censor stories about Afro-Asian countries that are not to the liking of their rulers. How this will be reconciled with UNESCO's declared constitutional duty to "promote the free flow of ideas by word and image" should prove interesting! The decision to accept the plan was forced through at a conference in Belgrade last year, with Western governments giving their grudging assent after pressure from the Soviet bloc and Third World countries, all of whom have a vested interest in a fettered press. I read now that another conference has been called for 1983 to consider how Western newspapers may be forced to "strengthen peace and counter racialism, apartheid and incitment to war" in their reporting.

Inevitably, the result of such clandestine monkeying with the press will be sanitised news which at best would say little about the country in question and at worst would misinform dangerously. Life would be made that bit easier for butchers like Mugabe and Bokassa: the voice of the opposition and the oppressed (assuming they are still alive!) would remain unheard. But isn't this in essence how many Fleet Street hacks have operated in reporting *British* affairs for years? For in acting as the mouthpieces and apologists for our wretched multiracial society, they have taken the greatest care to suppress "dangerous" news of its failings and to ignore or, alternatively, abuse any manifestation of opposition to the whole set-up on the part of British nationalists. The extension of their propaganda technique to the Third World should not cause them undue distress.

TIT FOR TAT? — I see that the decidedly *un*loving Miriam Karlin, the Anti-Nazi League activist who also does a spot of acting, got up at Equity's AGM and complained about receiving a death-threat couched in terms of "racial abuse" because she is a Jewess. Well, I recall reading a newspaper interview with Karlin three years ago when she had this to say about the likes of you and me: "I dread to think what this country would be if inhabited solely by white Anglo-Saxon Gentiles. What kind of cultural void that would be — a total disaster." She also admitted she felt "quite guilty" that she had a white face. I will endeavour to secure her home address for the next issue that concerned readers may send her some tins of best boot-blacking with which to eradicate her guilt!

FACT-FINDER broadsheets for mass distribution. Issues 2 (Communism: the facts behind the fiction) and 3 (The truth about Hitler's Germany) now available. Samples 10p each, plus postage, from Phoenix Sales, 95a Chester Road East, Shotton, Deeside, Clwyd, N. Wales.

Young Candour readers interested in a genuine outdoor nationalist youth movement should send for details of the VIKING YOUTH. S.a.e. to Viking Youth, Box 100, Candour Publishing Co.

THE CONSPIRACY LIVES ON

by DON BELL

The dream of creating and maintaining, for at least a millenium, a one world government has existed in the minds of men ever since the sons of Cain began to build cities. Empires have risen and empires have fallen and yet men still conspire to create the One World Utopia. Legend has it that Alfred Tennyson took his first ride on a train and the rhythm of the rails led him to have a vision of the future of man. He wrote:

> Men, my brothers, men the workers,
> ever reaping something new;
> That which they had done but earnest
> of the things that they shall do.
> For I dipt into the future,
> far as human eye could see,
> Saw the Vision of the world,
> and all the workers that would be. . . .
> Till the war-drum throbb'd no longer
> and the battle-flags were furled,
> In the Parliament of man,
> the Federation of the world!

Tennyson was not the first eminent author to promote the idea of a World Government, nor would he be the last. But another author, H.G. Wells, may have been the first to refer to the movement as a Conspiracy. A Fabian Socialist and admirer of the Bolshevik conquest of Russia, he travelled to Moscow to confer with Lenin and Trotsky. There, he also met Frank Vanderlip, an American banker who had worked with Paul Warburg, Otto Kahn, J. P. Morgan, Colonel House, Carter Glass and others to establish the Federal Reserve System. Vanderlip was in Russia to lend financial aid to the Bolshevik cause. H. G. Wells approved, writing that "Big business is by no means antipathetic to Communism. The larger big business grows, the more it approximates to Collectivism. It is the upper road of the few instead of the lower road of the masses to Collectivism." That was right out of the horse's mouth!

H. G. Wells made this statement in a book he published, apparently at his own expense, in 1933 and titled *The Open Conspiracy and Other Writings.* In this book he referred to a previous book: "I published a little book called *The Open Conspiracy* as early as 1928, into which I put what I had in my mind at that time . . . . The idea of reorganising the affairs of the world on quite a big scale which was 'Utopian', and so forth, in 1926 and 1927, and still 'bold' in 1928, has spread about the world until nearly everybody has it. It has broken out all over the place, thanks largely to the mental stimulation of the Russian Five Year Plan . . . ."

While Wells was openly in favour of the Bolshevik conquest, he wrote a plan for the creation of a world government which was more humane than that Five Year Plan which caused the murder of millions of bourgeois Christians before the plan could be carried out (unsuccessfully, we might add). Wells' plan is so similar to the plans of the present-day promoters of the New World Order that we should like to quote:

"At the utmost seven broad principles may be stated as defining the Open Conspiracy and holding it together. . . .

(1) The complete assertion, practical as well as theoretical, of the provisional nature of existing governments and of acquiescence in them;

(2) The resolve to minimize by all available means the conflicts of these governments, their militant use of individuals and property, and their interferences with the establishment of a world economic system;

(3) The determination to replace private, local or national ownership of at least credit, transport, and staple production by a responsible world directorate serving the common ends of the race;

(4) The practical recognition of the necessity for world biological controls, for example, of population and disease;

(5) The support of a minimum standard of individual freedom and welfare in the world;

(6) The supreme duty of subordinating the personal career to the creation of a world directorate capable of these tasks and to the general advancement of human knowledge, capacity, and power;

(7) The admission therewith that our immortality is conditional and lies in the race and not in our individual selves."

Limited World Directorates

It is noteworthy that Wells envisaged a Conspiracy that would succeed, not in the conquest of nations or the liquidation of existing governments, but rather in the merging of them into a union of states under the domination of a World Directorate. This World Directorate would establish a world economic system, eliminate private property, disband national military establishments, develop a world-wide human rights standard, etc. These are things that Karl Marx

also called for. They are the very things that have been attempted by the various agencies of the United Nations, often with success. They are also the things that have been developed by the various economic summits and the multilateral treaties that have been promoted by the United Nations and the Trilateralists. 'Piecemeal Functionalism' is the code word: the establishment of a series of limited 'world directorates' dealing with the creation of 'world laws' dealing with specific areas and activities.

An excellent example is the proposed Law of the Seas Treaty which is being negotiated by the United Nations on behalf of 36 nations having coastlines. Although this limited 'world directorate' scheme began with the Nixon administration, it became a pet project of the Carter administration and his Trilateral Commission Cabinet. C.F.R.-member and Trilateral Commissioner Elliot Richardson was assigned the job of representing the United States as a special ambassador to L.O.S.T., most of the tricky details had been worked out and the treaty was to be signed at a special meeting this summer at Caracas, Venezuela. This was to be a 'world directorate' for control of all mining rights in the world's oceans and open seas.

Rep. John Breaux, a Louisiana Democrat, protested, saying: "At the foundation of the Law of the Sea negotiations lies the drive of the Third World toward the establishment of the 'New International Economic Order', which is calculated to effect a fundamental redistribution of global wealth and power from the nations of the industrialised North to the countries of the less-developed South." This, of course, is in line with the Trilateral Commission's plan to create their New World Order. That the U.S.S.R. is one of the 36 nations negotiating, and is said to have been controlling the negotiations, makes the plan even more hazardous to the United States. Rep. Breaux also explained that "the political model is a one-nation, one-vote democracy which conveys an equal voice, for example, to a nation of 800 million and to a nation of 350,000. The economic model is socialist central economic planning, which seeks to stifle free enterprise and defeat market principles." Breaux pointed out that under the terms of the treaty (as in the U.N. General Assembly) the U.S.S.R. would have three votes and the U.S. would have but one vote. It should also be noted that in this and other proposed treaties favouring third world nations, those actually being favoured are the International Bankers and the Multinational Developers, because it is they — not the under-developed nations — who will control all the resources, the mining, and the industrial development in those countries.

But here comes the good news. One of the very first things done by the Reagan administration was the firing of Elliot Richardson and all his crew, along with the announcement to the world that President Reagan would not sign the law of the Sea Treaty as presently drafted. This L.O.S. Treaty was an integral and important part of the overall Trilateralist plan for creating their New World Order (exactly as H. G. Wells might have envisaged it). The plan called 'Piecemeal Functionalism' called for the establishment of a whole series of these limited 'world directorates', which would develop 'world laws' for their particular area and activity. Eventually, these limited 'world directorates' were to be amalgamated into a One World Authority. With the rejection of the Law of the Sea, the plan seems to have faltered.

The Regional Approach

However, there is that programme for the creation of a series of Regional World Governments, these eventually to be merged into a One World Government. That programme is very alive. The following by our favourite European correspondent Hilaire du Berrier appeared in the March 4th., 1981, issue of *The Review Of The News*:

"The London *Daily Telegraph* of February 3rd carried a stunningly frank statement made by Dr. Otto von Hapsburg, the former pretender to the throne of Austria who voluntarily became a German commoner in order to run for a seat in the European Parliament. In London "for the inauguration of the British branch of the Pan-European Union of which he is president," the former archduke told the press that the aims of the Pan-European Union are "wider than those of the European Economic Community." Aside from working for a confederation of free European states, "it (the Pan-European Union) looks toward the day, however distant, when countries of the now-Russian-dominated East bloc will be able to join."

The *Daily Telegraph* account continued: "Perhaps if the Polish mood proves contagious, this may begin to sound a little less far-fetched. In the meantime, Dr. von Hapsburg is making the most of his opportunities as a German-elected member of the European Parliament and is tirelessly stumping its confines to further the ideals of Pan-Europeanism."

Otto von Hapsburg's statement deserves the closest attention. It constitutes the frankest confession of One World objectives since 1953 when Ford Foundation president H. Rowan Gaither admitted to the chief investigator for Representative Carrol Reese's House Committee investigating tax-exempt foundations that he and his associates were working for a One World government in which the United States

could be 'comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.' Now that the One Worlders have again thrown off the mask there is no longer any question of a conspiracy. What free Europe and the U. S. face is clearly an open power grab at the expense of national identity."

This One World Conspiracy has had many names and many rules of procedure. Tennyson's 'Federation of the world' was only a poet's vision. But Karl Marx saw it as historically imperative and called his plan Communism. Rhodes and the Round Table saw it as an Anglo-American Federation that would rule the world. House and The Inquiry envisaged its beginning in the fourteen points of the League of Nations. Wells penned the outline for an 'Open Conspiracy' because being a Humanist he sought for immortality and felt it lay in the whole human race and not an individual man. Then came those who conspired to build their One World Collective for fame and profit. Kissinger's World Community, Brzezinski's Technetronic Order, etc., etc.

The C.F.R.—T.L.C. Conspiracy called 'Piecemeal Functionalism' has been stalled, perhaps mostly by Carter's mishandling. But the Regional Conspiracy is alive and growing. The Conspiracy to regionalise Europe, then *merge* regionalised Communist countries with the regionalised Socialist countries of that continent, is part and parcel of the overall conspiracy to *merge* the regionalised Americans with the regionalised U.S.S.R.

Don Bell is editor of the weekly newsletter Don Bell Reports, available from P.O. Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, U.S.A. for 40 dollars per annum.

HELP BOOST CANDOUR SALES

How often do you find yourself in a library, a hotel lounge, or talking to someone on the bus or train, where you could usefully leave or give away a copy of *Candour* — if you had a copy on you at the time?

Keep a copy in your pocket from this day on to 'plant' when a suitable opportunity arises. The number of new readers we can, and do, get this way is surprising.

To have a copy handy in your pocket, you need a regular supply in your home. So order a stock of ten copies of this issue straight away by sending us only £1.50.

Remember — *Candour* is YOUR journal and its survival depends on YOUR support. *So let's hear from you today!*

Read SPEARHEAD, John Tyndall's monthly journal published in support of the New National Front.
Sample copy 42p. from Seacroft, 52 Westbourne Villas, Hove, Sussex.

For the Right point of view, read LEAGUE REVIEW, journal of the League of St. George.
Sample copy 60p. from 9/11 Kensington High Street, London W8 5NP.

BOOKS FROM FOREST HOUSE

Action *by Jean Ousset*
Although written by a French Roman Catholic, this is an essential hand-book for *all* activists seeking guidance on the strategy and tactics to use when defending their beliefs. **£3.50**

For Those Who Cannot Speak *by Michael McLaughlin*
A well-illustrated, magazine-format book which answers point-by-point many of the distortions and misconceptions manufactured by the media about Churchill, Hitler and the Second World War. **£1.00**

Take Your Choice: Separation Or Mongrelisation *by Thedore Bilbao*
A former Lt. Governor of Mississippi deals with the race issue in America and suggests racial integration was a crucial factor behind the decay of ancient civilisations. **£3.00**

Open Sesame *by B. E. Biggs*
An anthology of the poems of B. E. Biggs that have been published in *Candour* over the past thirteen years. Read them for apt comment on our times. **£1.00**

B.B.C. — A National Menace *by A. K. Chesterton*
A booklet describing how the B.B.C. subordinates the national interest to left-wing and internationalist policies. **40p**

All prices inclusive of postage
CANDOUR PUBLISHING CO., FOREST HOUSE, LISS FOREST, HAMPSHIRE GU33 7DD

LIGHT AND BITTER

by JANUS

Down Memory Lane

A South London Catholic conference in March on "improving race relations" was given an ear-tweaking by one speaker. Why, he asked, wasn't Britain's first Black mayor (*half*-black, actually) remembered more? John Archer, son of an Irish slut and West Indian father, became Mayor of Battersea in 1913. "It is a sad reflection on our society," delegates were told, "that the work of Mr. Archer has been forgotten." How many 1913 mayors, I'd like to know, are remembered in *any* society? The "sad reflection" on ours is that there are some idiotic Church leaders who've got nothing better to do with their time.

The Ultimate?

An Inner London Education Authority leaflet announced that at a forthcoming lecture, a woman called Hazel Favin, advisory teacher for health education, would discuss how "sex education can be taught in a non-sexist way". Surely, if she took the sex out of sex-education, there wouldn't be anything for the poor fools in her audience to listen to?

He's Got De Rhythm

The Manpower Services Commission has handed out £50,000 to an outfit in the St. Paul's area of Bristol to train 35 unemployed yobs to stage a reggae "musical". Called "Freedom City", the cacophony is devised by a Guyanese painter and a Jewess who produces BBC documentaries on multi-racial Britain! I wonder if they would be prepared to give an opening to the talents of my three-year-old son? At the moment he's knocking all hell out of the dustbin with a wooden stick. He'd fit the bill superbly!

Testament To Communism

There can be no more telling evidence of the moral and political failure of Communism than the fearsome iron border between East and West in Europe. So lethal now are the shrapnel-firing booby-traps installed along it, Bonn's Interior Ministry has revealed, that in East Germany only one successful escape occurs a week, compared with 100 a week in 1961. I kid you not when I write that I've met a few Commies in the past who actually believe it is all designed to stop decadent Westerners getting *into* the Workers' Paradise!

Long Live The Snobs !

A radio tribute to my favourite columnist, Peter Simple, reproduced this gem from the 1950s: "A society without snobbery would be a society without standards, without manners or aspirations, without respect for distinction of any kind, whether acquired or inherited; a society intolerably coarse, rude and assertive . . . The Wild West was a society without snobbery."

Labour's Hidden Face

The Soviet Union's public relations officer for its invasion of Afghanistan, Ronald Brown M.P., has achieved notoriety again by raising in Parliament the case of members of a Scottish Communist group which sympathises with IRA murderers. That they were convicted of a breach of the peace for selling their seditious filth is regarded by Comrade Brown (whose real name Jansch has a distinctly *un*-**Scottish** ring to it) as "an attack on the Labour movement as a whole". What on earth possessed the voters of Leith to put a creature with such unsavoury views in office defeats me. I only hope they recover their wits by the next election.

Dundee's Daring

The manure has really hit the fan following Dundee Council's decision to fly the P.L.O. flag in their city hall. Although they have only done it to encourage a better understanding of the Palestinian problem, MPs are up in arms that the councillors have "created a sense of outrage" and "shamed the whole of Scotland". Now, a few daubings of swastikas have conveniently appeared on the city's Jewish synagogue. Am I so very far wide of the mark in suspecting that this hooligan act is *not* necessarily the work of people opposed to the state of Israel ?

Words Of Wisdom

"There is nothing immoral in the use of force; force becomes immoral only when used for immoral objects" — *Arnold Leese (1927).*

CANDOUR

U.K.	**£3.50**
Overseas airmail	**£4.00**
U.S.A. Dollar Rate	**$10.00**

Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD

Published by Candour Publishing Co. (Editor: R. de Bounevialle), Forest House, Liss Forest and Printed by Farnham Printing Co. Ltd.